## **The Facile Synthesis of a Very Hindered Phenol by Ligand Coupling**

Derek H. R. Barton,\*<sup>a</sup> Dervilla M. X. Donnelly,<sup>b</sup> Patrick J. Guiry,<sup>a,b</sup> and Joseph H. Reibenspies<sup>a</sup>

**<sup>a</sup>***Department of Chemistry, Texas A* & *M University, College Station, TX 77843-3255, USA*  b *Department of Chemistry, University College, Dublin 4, Ireland* 

The reaction of **2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl-lead** triacetate **(4)** with 3,5-di-t-butylphenol **(I)** at room temperature afforded the very hindered phenol **(5)** in good **(87%)** yield; acetylation gave the acetate **(7)** which was fully characterised, including an X-ray determination.

The concept of ligand coupling was originally due to Trost' and to Oae.2 It implies that two groups attached to an atom couple together in a concerted manner without separating into radicals or ions. The expression 'reductive elimination,' much used in organometallic chemistry, designates the same overall transformation, but without specifying the mechanism involved.3

Ligand coupling is much in evidence in the arylation reactions promoted by BiV. One of the most striking aspects of ligand coupling, especially in Bi<sup>V</sup> chemistry,<sup>4</sup> is the ease of synthesis of very hindered compounds under mild conditions. For example, phenylation of  $(1)$  with Ph<sub>3</sub>BiCl<sub>2</sub> under basic conditions afforded the diphenyl derivative **(2)** (77%). The intermediate **(3)** was isolated and fully characterised.5

We agree with Oae<sup>3</sup> that ligand coupling will be seen with many other elements. We have demonstrated<sup>6</sup> this for I<sup>III</sup>, Sb<sup>V</sup>, Te<sup>IV</sup>, and Pb<sup>IV</sup>. The ligand coupling chemistry of aryl-lead triacetates, a class of Pb<sup>IV</sup> reagents, has been extensively studied and exploited by Pinhey.7 We have recently employed these in the synthesis of 3-aryl-4-hydroxy coumarins<sup>8</sup> and the copper-catalysed N-arylation of amines.<sup>9</sup> In both cases very hindered compounds were easily prepared.

Aryl-lead triacetates have the advantage of using their sole aryl group in the formation of the desired carbon-carbon bond. In addition, it is easy to prepare electron rich aryl-lead triacetates either by plumbylation<sup>10</sup> or by tin-lead exchange.<sup>11</sup> In contrast, electron rich arylbismuth $(V)$  compounds are not easily prepared and several aryl groups are wasted in each arylation reaction. We decided, therefore, to test the limits of ligand coupling using the phenol **(1)** and the lead reagent<sup>12</sup> **(4)**. The phenol **(1)** (0.30 mmol) was reacted for 24 h with three equivalents of **(4)** and ten equivalents of dry pyridine in dry chloroform (1.0 ml). Homogeneity was maintained by adding a second ml of chloroform and after stirring for a further 24 h we obtained *(5)* (87%) and the monoarylated derivative *(6)*  (10%). Compound *(5),* recrystallised as needles from hexane,<sup>†</sup> is one of the most sterically hindered phenols yet prepared13 and its facile synthesis in such a high yield is notable. Oxidation of **(5)** using benzeneseleninic acid14 and O-phenylation of  $(5)$  by  $Ph_3Bi(OAc)$  under copper catalysis<sup>15</sup> were unsuccessful, presumably owing to the steric hindrance of the substrate in both cases. Acetylation of *(5)* by pyridineacetic anhydride required drastic conditions (reflux, **140** "C for 24 h), as **(5)** is inert at room temperature, and thus we obtained the corresponding acetate **(7)** (94%), which recrystallised as plates from methanol.?

From the 1H and 13C NMR data it is clear that this acetate **(7)** is symmetrical. Compound **(7)** crystallised in the space group P1 with two discrete molecules **(7a)** and **(7b)** per UNIQUE volume of the unit cell.<sup> $\ddagger$ </sup> No unusual bond lengths or angles for either molecule were seen. The conformations of **(7a)** and **(7b)** are similar and differ only in the torsion angles of the terminal methoxy and t-butyl groups. The central benzene rings of molecules **7a)** and **(7b)** are planar [mean deviation nearly perpendicular to the terminal trimethoxyphenyl rings [intersection of the normals to the plane of the benzene ring and the trimethoxyphenyl rings for **(7a):** 82.2 and 81.6", for **(7b):**  $78.3$  and  $80.6^{\circ}$ . A ball and stick plot of  $(7a)$  is shown in Figure 1. from plane:  $0.010 \text{ Å}$  for  $(7a)$  and  $0.005 \text{ Å}$  for  $(7b)$ ] and are



<sup>\$</sup> *Selected data* for *(5):* m.p. 216.5-218°C; *mlz* 538.2906 *(M+)*   $[C_{32}H_{42}O_7$  requires  $m/z$  538.2930  $(M^+)]$ ;  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (MeOH) 215.5 and 279 nm (ε 59 919 and 5956 dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>); **v<sub>max.</sub>** (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3014 (m), 1598 (w), 1206 *(s),* 779 **(s),** and 665 **(s)** cm-1; 6H (200 MHz, CDCl3) 7.26 **s,** 2 x 4'-OCH3), 3.66 (12H, **s,** 2 x 2'-OCH3, 6'-OCH3), and 1.17 (2-C, 6-C), 109.24 (1'-C), 91.70 (3'-C, 5'-C), 55.78 (2'-OCH<sub>3</sub>, 6'-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 55.46 (4'-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 36.65 [C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], and 31.46 [C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>]; *m/z* (EIMS) 538 *(M+,* 4%), 368 *(5),* 353 (2), 256 (7), 227 (4), 185 (4), 207 (3), 129 (12), 109 (14), 98 (58), 83 (39), 69 (62), 57 (go), 56 (40), 55 (loo), and 41 (95). For **(7):** 265-256°C; *mlz* 580.3042 *(M+:*   $[C_{34}H_{44}O_8$  requires  $m/z$  580.3036  $(M^+)]$ ;  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  (MeOH) 218 nn (40580 dm3 mol-l cm-l); **Y,,.** (CHCI3) 2947 (m), 1751 *(s),* 1597 (w) 1450 (m), 1134 (s), and 756 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>;  $\delta_H$  (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 7.59 (1H)  $(12H, s, 2 \times 2'$ -OCH<sub>3</sub>, 6'-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.29 (3H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), and 1.21 (18H, s  $(H, s, 4-H), 6.18$  ( $4H, s, 2 \times 3'$ - $H, 5'$ -H), 4.57 (1H, s, OH), 3.83 (6H, (18H, **S,** 2 X t-C4H9); *6c* (50 MHz, CDC13) 161.72 (4'-C), 159.72 (2'-C, 6'-C), 152.11 (1-C), 148.51 (3-C, 5-C), 117.75 (4-C), 116.65 **s, 4-H**), 6.10 (4H, **s**,  $2 \times 3$  +H,  $5'$ -H),  $3.82$  (6H, **s**,  $2 \times 4'$ -CH<sub>3</sub>),  $3.6$  $2 \times$  t-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>9</sub>);  $\delta_C$  (50 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 167.27 (C=O), 160.76 (4'-C) 158.86 (2'-C, 6'-C), 149.44 (1-C), 148.65 (3-C, 5-C), 123.94 (4-C: 123.59 (2-C, 6-C),110.33 (l'-C), 90.63 (3'-C, 5'-C), 55.54 (4'-OCH3'  $19.56$  (CH<sub>3</sub>). 55.25 (2'-OCH<sub>3</sub>, 6'-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 36.89 [C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], 31.57 [C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>], an

 $\uparrow$  *Crystal data* for (7):  $C_{34}H_{44}O_8$ , colourless plate,  $0.06 \times 0.36 \times 0.40$ mm<sup>3</sup>,  $M = 580.7$ , triclinic, space group  $P\overline{1}$  (No. 2),  $a = 13.416(5)$ ,  $b =$ 13.863(4),  $c = 19.753(4)$  Å,  $\alpha = 73.05(2)$ ,  $\beta = 79.10(2)$ ,  $\gamma = 75.30(2)$ °,  $U = 3372.6(17)$  Å<sup>3</sup>,  $Z = 4$ ,  $D_x = 1.14$  cm<sup>-3</sup>,  $F(000) = 1248$ ,  $\mu = 0.75$ cm<sup>-1</sup> 8859 reflections were collected at  $-80^{\circ}$ C on a Nicolet R3m diffractometer using graphite monochromated Mo- $K_{\alpha}$  ( $\lambda$  = 0.71073 Å) radiation with  $\hat{\theta}$ /2 $\theta$  scans (4.00  $\leq 2\theta \leq 50.00^{\circ}$ ) of which 4858 were observed  $[I \ge 2.0\sigma(I)]$ . The structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXS-86, G. M. Sheldrick, University of Gottingen, 1986, on **FPS** superminicomputer) and refined by full-matrix leastsquares (SHELXTL-PLUS, G. M. Sheldrick, University of Gottingen, 1988, on  $\mu$ VaxII minicomputer) to  $R = 0.106$ ,  $wR = 0.090$ ,  $S =$ 2.80 [quantity minimized =  $\Sigma w (F_0 - F_c)^2$ ,  $w^{-1} = \sigma^2(F) + 0.0001 F^2$ , 638 parameters). Hydrogens were placed at idealised positions. Atomic co-ordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1.



**Figure 1.** Ball and stick plot of compound (7a).

Thus, well-defined intermediates can be detected in the phenylation of phenols using Bi<sup>V</sup> reagents. Since the Pb<sup>IV</sup> arylation reaction gives the same regioselectivity *(ortho*  substitution) as observed with BiV reagents and also makes very hindered compounds without involving radicals,<sup>6</sup> we believe that the mechanism is the same. However, although we have searched diligently, we have not yet been able to detect a Pb<sup>IV</sup> intermediate. Of course, this may simply mean that it couples very rapidly once formed.16

*Received, 20th March 1990; Corn. OlO1232G* 

## **References**

1 B. M. Trost, R. W. LaRochelle, and R. C. Atkins, *J. Am. Chem. SOC.,* 1969. 91, 2175; R. W. LaRochelle and B. M. Trost, *ibid.,*  1971,93,6077; B. M. Trost and R. C. Atkins, *ibid.,* 1973,95,5288.

- 2 **Y.** H. Khim and **S.** Oae, *Bull. Chem.* **SOC.** *Jpn.,* 1969, 42, 1968.
- 3 See **S.** Oae, *Croat. Chem. Acta,* 1986,59,129; *Phosphorus Sulfur,*  1986, 27, 13.
- 4 R. A. Abramovitch, D. H. R. Barton, and J.-P. Finet, *Tetrahedron,* 1988, 44, 3039; J.-P. Finet, *Chem. Rev.,* 1989, 89, 1487.
- *5*  D. H. R. Barton, **Y.** N. Bhatnagar, J. C. Blazejewski, B. Charpiot, J.-P. Finet, D. J. Lester, W. B. Motherwell, M. T. B. Papoula, and *S.* P. Stanforth, J. *Chem. SOC., Perkin Trans. 1,*  1985, 2657.
- 6 D. H. R. Barton, J.-P. Finet, C. Gianotti, and F. Halley, *J. Chem. SOC., Perkin Trans. 1,* 1987, 241.
- 7 H. C. Bell, J. T. Pinhey, and **S.** Sternhell, *Aust. J. Chem.,* 1979, 32, 1551; J. T. Pinhey and B. A. Rowe, *ibid.,* 1979, 32, 1561; *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1980, 21, 965; *Aust. J. Chem.,* 1980, 33, 113; D. J. Ackland and **J.** T. Pinhey, *J. Chem.* **SOC.,** *Perkin Trans. I,*  1987,2689, and references cited therein.
- 8 D. H. R. Barton, D. M. **X.** Donnelly, J.-P. Finet, and P. J. Guiry, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1989, 30, 1539.
- 9 D. H. R. Barton, D. M. **X.** Donnelly, J.-P. Finet, and P. J. Guiry, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1989, 30, 1377.
- 10 D. de Vos, W. **A. A.** van Barneveld, D. C. van Beelen, H. 0. van der Kooi, J. Wolters, and A. van der Gen, *Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas,* 1975, **94,** 97, and references cited therein.
- 11 R. P. Kozyrod, J. Morgan, and J. T. Pinhey, *Aust. J. Chem.,* 1985, **38,** 1147.
- 12 L. C. Willemsens, D. de Vos, J. Spierenburg, and **J.** Wolters, J. *Organomet. Chem.,* 1972,39, C61.
- 13 For examples of other hindered phenols see: (a) 'Organic Chemistry of Stable Free Radicals' eds. A. R. Forrester, J. **M.** Hay, and R. H. Thomson, Academic Press, London, 1968, pp. 281-341; (b) 'Comprehensive Organic Chemistry,' eds. D. **H.** R. Barton and W. D. Ollis, vol. 1, ed. J. F. Stoddart, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1979, pp. 756, 775; (c) D. H. R. Barton, J.-P. Finet, C. Gianotti, and F. Halley, *Tetrahedron,* 1988, 44, 4483.
- 14 D. H. R. Barton, J.-P. Finet, and **M.** Thomas, *Tetrahedron,* 1988, **44,** 6397.
- 15 D. H. R. Barton, J.-P. Finet, J. Khamsi, and C. Pichon, *Tetrahedron Lett.,* 1986, 27, 3619.
- 16 Cf. E. Zbiral, F. Wessely, and E. Lahrmann, *Monatsch.,* 1960,91, 331; E. Zbiral, 0. Saiko, and F. Wessely, *ibid.,* 1964, *95,* 512.